#### Rings between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathbb Q$

Luke Harmon

March 2018

#### Subgroups of $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Some of examples of additive subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}$  are:  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\left\{\frac{m}{3^n} | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ , and  $2\mathbb{Z}$ .

It turns out that there are  $card(\mathbb{R}) = 2^{\aleph_0}$  additive subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . These subgroups were classified by Baer in 1937.

**Interesting Note:** The additive subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  remain unclassified.

Our goal is to classify all of the subrings of  ${\mathbb Q}$  that contain  ${\mathbb Z}$  as a subring.

### Preliminaries

R will always denote a commutative, unital ring.

#### Definitions.

A proper ideal *P* of *R* is *prime* if  $ab \in P \implies a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . **Example 1.** The principal ideal (3) is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

A subset S of a ring R is *multiplicative* provided: (i)  $0_R \notin S$ , (ii)  $1_R \in S$ , (iii)  $x, y \in S \implies xy \in S$ .

**Example 2.**  $S = \{2^n | n \ge 0\}$  is a multiplicative subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

A multiplicative set S is saturated if  $xy \in S \implies x, y \in S$ .

The saturated closure  $\widehat{S}$  of a multiplicative set S is the set of all  $r \in R$  for which there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $rt \in S$ . Intuitively,  $\widehat{S}$  consists of all "divisors" of elements of S.

**Example 3.** The saturated closure (in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) of  $S = \{2^n | n \ge 0\}$  is  $\widehat{S} = \{\pm 2^n | n \ge 0\}$ , since each divisor of  $2^n$  is of the form  $\pm 2^k$  for  $0 \le k \le n$ .

#### Preliminaries

**Lemma.** For any multiplicative set  $S \subseteq R$ , the saturated closure  $\widehat{S}$  is a saturated set.

*Proof.*  $1_R^2 = 1_R \in S$ , so  $1_R \in \widehat{S}$ . If  $0_R \in \widehat{S}$ , then  $t0_R = 0_R \in S$  for some  $t \in R$ , a contradiction. So  $0_R \notin \widehat{S}$ . If  $a, b \in \widehat{S}$ , then there exist  $t, t' \in R$  such that  $at, bt' \in S$ . But S is multiplicative and Ris commutative, so  $(at)(bt') = (ab)(tt') \in S$ . By definition,  $ab \in \widehat{S}$ . So  $\widehat{S}$  is multiplicative. Finally,  $ab \in \widehat{S}$  means there exists  $t \in R$  such that  $(ab)t = a(bt) = b(at) \in S$ . Hence  $a, b \in \widehat{S}$ , which shows that  $\widehat{S}$  is saturated. Note that  $S \subseteq \widehat{S}$ , since R is unital.

**Proposition 1.** If  $S \subseteq R$  is multiplicative and I is an ideal of R with  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ , then I is contained in a prime ideal P with  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Sketch of proof. Use Zorn's Lemma to prove that the collection  $\Im := \{J | J \text{ an ideal of } R \text{ that contains } I, \text{ and is disjoint from } S\}$ , partially ordered by  $\subseteq$ , has a maximal element M. Then show that M is prime.

**Example 4.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \{2^n | n \ge 0\}$ . The ideal I = (6) is disjoint from S and  $I \subseteq (3)$ , which is prime.

**Proposition 2.** If S is saturated, then S is the complement of a union of prime ideals.

*Proof.* Suppose *S* is a saturated subset of *R*. By definition of multiplicative,  $0_R \notin S$ , so  $S^c \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $x \in S^c$  and consider the principal ideal (*x*). Claim that  $(x) \cap S = \emptyset$ . Otherwise,  $rx \in S$  for some  $r \in R$ . Since *S* is saturated,  $x \in S$ , a contradiction. So  $(x) \cap S = \emptyset$ . By *Proposition 1*, (*x*) is contained in a prime ideal  $P_x \subseteq S^c$ . Invoke the Axiom of Choice to pick a prime ideal  $P_x$ , which contains *x*, for each  $x \in S^c$ . So  $S^c = \bigcup_{x \in S^c} P_x$ . Thus  $S = (\bigcup_{x \in S^c} P_x)^c$ .

### The Classification

Recall that our goal is to classify all rings R for which  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Example 5.** The set  $R = \left\{ \frac{m}{2^n} | m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \ge 0 \right\}$  is a ring under the usual addition and multiplication of fractions that contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a proper subring and is itself a proper subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition.** Let *D* be an integral domain. The *field of fractions* of *D* is  $Frac(D) = \left\{ \frac{r}{s} \middle| r, s \in D, s \neq 0_D \right\}$ , where  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{r'}{s'} \iff rs' - r's = 0$ , with operations  $\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rr'}{ss'}$  and  $\frac{r}{s} + \frac{r'}{s'} = \frac{rs' + r's}{ss'}$ .

**Definition.** Let S be a multiplicative subset of an integral domain D. The *ring of fractions* of D with respect to S is the subring of Frac(D) given by  $D_S = \{\frac{r}{s} | r \in D, s \in S\}$ 

Notice that the ring R in *Example 5* is the ring of fractions  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ , where  $S = \{2^n | n \ge 0\}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Every ring *R* that is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subring is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_S$  for some multiplicative set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .

Sketch of proof. Define  $S := \left\{ q | \frac{p}{q} \in R, \ gcd(p,q) = 1 \right\}$ . Choose  $\frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'} \in R$  with gcd(p,q) = gcd(p',q') = 1. By Bézout's identity, there are  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\alpha p + \beta q = 1$ . Dividing both sides by q yields  $\frac{1}{q} = \alpha \left(\frac{p}{q}\right) + \beta \in R$ . Similarly,  $\frac{1}{q'} \in R$ . Hence  $\frac{1}{qq'} \in R$ , and  $qq' \in S$ . Observe that  $0 \notin S$  and  $1 \in S$ , so S is multiplicative. We claim that  $R = \mathbb{Z}_S$ .  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_S$ , since each element of R can be written as  $\frac{p}{q}$  with gcd(p,q) = 1. For the opposite containment, pick  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ . Another argument using Bézout's identity shows that  $\frac{1}{b} \in R$ . Whence  $a\left(\frac{1}{b}\right) = \frac{a}{b} \in R$ . So our claim is true.

**Proposition 4.** If  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is multiplicative, then  $\mathbb{Z}_S = \mathbb{Z}_{\widehat{S}}$ . Sketch of proof. Choose  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\widehat{S}}$ , so  $x = \frac{r}{s}$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $s \in \widehat{S}$ . Then there exists  $s' \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ss' \in S$ . Since  $0 \notin S$ ,  $s' \neq 0$ , and so  $\frac{r}{s} = \frac{rs'}{ss'} \in \mathbb{Z}_S$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z}_{\widehat{S}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_S$ . The opposite containment is an immediate consequence of  $\widehat{S}$  containing S. We are now ready to classify all of the rings between  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb Q.$ 

**Theorem.** Every subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$  that contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subring is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_S$  for some saturated set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof. Immediate from Proposition 3 and Proposition 4.

Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ , where  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is saturated. By *Proposition 2* (saturated sets are the complements of unions of prime ideals) and the fact that all of the nonzero prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are the principal ideals generated by prime numbers,  $S = \left(\bigcup_{p \in \mathfrak{p}} (p)\right)^c$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a set of primes. It follows that each element of S is not a multiple of any element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Whence  $p \nmid s$  for each  $p \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $s \in S$ . In particular, each ring between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a set of fractions whose denominators are not divisible by the elements of some set of prime numbers.

# As with the additive subgroups of $\mathbb Q,$ there are $2^{\aleph_0}$ rings between $\mathbb Z$ and $\mathbb Q.$

# T. W. Hungerford. Algebra. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **142-147**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

Many thanks to Dr. Oman for suggesting this topic.

### 

## **Questions?**