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Cohn path algebras

Let $K$ be a field, and let $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$ be a directed graph.

The Cohn path $K$-algebra $C_K(E)$ of $E$ with coefficients in $K$

is the $K$-algebra generated by a set $\{v \mid v \in E^0\}$, together with a set of variables $\{e, e^* \mid e \in E^1\}$, which satisfy the following relations:

(V) \[ vw = \delta_{v,w} v \] for all $v, w \in E^0$,

(E1) $s(e)e = er(e) = e$ for all $e \in E^1$,

(E2) $r(e)e^* = e^*s(e) = e^*$ for all $e \in E^1$, and

(CK1) $e^*e' = \delta_{e,e'} r(e)$ for all $e, e' \in E^1$. 

Gene Abrams University of Colorado @ Colorado Springs

Cohn path algebras have Invariant Basis Number
The Leavitt path $K$-algebra $L_K(E)$ of $E$ with coefficients in $K$ is the $K$-algebra generated by the same set \( \{ v \mid v \in E^0 \} \),
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Cohn path algebras

The Leavitt path $K$-algebra $L_K(E)$ of $E$ with coefficients in $K$

is the $K$-algebra generated by the same set $\{v \mid v \in E^0\}$, together with the same set of variables $\{e, e^* \mid e \in E^1\}$, which satisfy the same set of relations (V), (E1), (E2), and (CK1), and also satisfy the additional relation

$$(\text{CK2}) \quad v = \sum\{e \in E^1 \mid s(e) = v\} \: ee^* \text{ for every regular vertex } v \in E^0.$$
If $E^0$ is finite then both $C_K(E)$ and $L_K(E)$ are unital, each having identity $1 = \sum_{v \in E^0} v$.

These are clearly related:

$$L_K(E) \cong C_K(E)/N$$

where $N = \langle v - \sum_{\{e \in E^1 | s(e) = v\}} ee^* | v \text{ regular} \rangle \trianglelefteq C_K(E)$.

But they are ALSO related in a perhaps surprising way.
The graph $F(E)$

Let $E$ be an arbitrary graph.
Let $Y$ denote the set of regular vertices of $E$.
Let $Y' = \{ v' \mid v \in Y \}$ be a disjoint copy of $Y$.
For $v \in Y$ and for each edge $e$ in $E$ such that $r_E(e) = v$, we consider a new symbol $e'$. 
The graph $F(E)$

Let $E$ be an arbitrary graph.
Let $Y$ denote the set of regular vertices of $E$.
Let $Y' = \{v' \mid v \in Y\}$ be a disjoint copy of $Y$.
For $v \in Y$ and for each edge $e$ in $E$ such that $r_E(e) = v$, we consider a new symbol $e'$.
We define the graph $F = F(E)$, as follows:

$$F^0 = E^0 \sqcup Y'; \quad F^1 = E^1 \sqcup \{e' \mid r_E(e) \in Y\};$$

and for each $e \in E^1$,

$$s_F(e) = s_E(e), \quad s_F(e') = s_E(e),$$

$$r_F(e) = r_E(e), \quad \text{and} \quad r_F(e') = r_E(e').$$
The graph $F(E)$

Less formally (focus on $E$ finite)

$F = F(E)$ is built from $E$ by:

1) adding a new vertex to $E$ corresponding to each non-sink of $E$, and

2) including new edges going into each of these new vertices as they were connected to the original vertices.
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Examples.
Let $E$ be the graph

Then the graph $F = F(E)$ is:
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Let $R_2$ be the graph

Then the graph $F = F(R_2)$ is:
Note for later: The incidence matrix $A_F$ of $F = F(E)$ is the $(n + t) \times (n + t)$ matrix in which, for $1 \leq i \leq t$, the $i^{th}$ row is

$$ (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \ldots, a_{i,n}, a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \ldots, a_{i,t}), $$

and the remaining $n$ rows are zeroes.
Theorem. (P. Ara) Let $E$ be any graph. Then there is an isomorphism of $K$-algebras

$$C_K(E) \cong L_K(F(E)).$$
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**Theorem.** (P. Ara) Let $E$ be any graph. Then there is an isomorphism of $K$-algebras

$$C_K(E) \cong L_K(F(E)).$$

**Idea of Proof.** Expressions of the form $v - \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} ee^*$ are nonzero idempotents in $C_K(E)$.

“Replace” each regular $v$ by $\sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} ee^*$ and think of $v'$ as $v - \sum_{e \in s^{-1}(v)} ee^*$.
The graph monoid $M_G$

Suppose $|G^0| = n$. Construct the abelian monoid $M_G$:

For each regular vertex $v_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$) define

$$\vec{b}_i = (0, 0, ..., 1, 0, ...0) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n.$$

Consider the equivalence relation $\sim_G$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$, generated by setting

$$\vec{b}_i \sim_G (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, ..., a_{i,n})$$

for each regular vertex $v_i$. Define

$$M_G = (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n / \sim_G.$$

The operation in $M_G$ is: $[\vec{a}] + [\vec{a}'] = [\vec{a} + \vec{a}']$ for $\vec{a}, \vec{a}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$. 
The graph monoid $M_G$

Viewed another way:

$$\vec{b}_i \sim_G \ i^{th} \ \text{row of } A_G$$

for each nonzero row of $A_G$.

i.e., for each $v_i$ which is not a sink in $G$. 
Example.

\[ E = \bullet^u \overset{e}{\rightarrow} \bullet^v \overset{f}{\rightarrow} \bullet^w \]

Then \( M_E \) is the monoid \((\mathbb{Z}^+)^3\), modulo the relation \( \sim_E \) generated by setting \((1, 0, 0) \sim_E (0, 1, 0) \) and \((0, 1, 0) \sim_E (0, 0, 1)\).
Example.

\[ E = \bullet^u \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^v \xrightarrow{f} \bullet^w \]

Then \( M_E \) is the monoid \((\mathbb{Z}^+)^3\), modulo the relation \( \sim_E \) generated by setting \((1, 0, 0) \sim_E (0, 1, 0)\) and \((0, 1, 0) \sim_E (0, 0, 1)\).

We get \( M_E \cong \mathbb{Z}^+ \), via \([(a, b, c)] \mapsto a + b + c\).
The graph monoid $M_G$

**Example.**

$R_2 = \begin{array}{c}
\bullet \\
\circlearrowleft
\circlearrowleft
\end{array}$

$M_{R_2}$ is the monoid $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^1$, modulo the relation generated by setting $(1) \sim_{R_2} (2)$.

We get $M_{R_2} \cong \{0, x\}$, where $x + x = x$.

(N.b.: $M_{R_2}$ is not the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$.)
**Invariant Basis Number**

**Definition.** $R$ any unital ring. $R$ has *Invariant Basis Number* (IBN) in case for each pair $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$R^m \cong R^{m'} \text{ as left } R\text{-modules} \iff m = m'.$$
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**Definition.** $R$ any unital ring. $R$ has *Invariant Basis Number* (IBN) in case for each pair $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$RR^m \cong RR^{m'} \text{ as left } R\text{-modules} \iff m = m'.$$

**Definition.** $R$ any ring. $\mathcal{V}(R)$ denotes the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective left $R$-modules, with operation

$$[P] + [Q] = [P \oplus Q].$$

**Observation:** $R$ has IBN if and only if for every pair of distinct positive integers $m \neq m'$ we have $m[R] \neq m'[R]$ in $\mathcal{V}(R)$.
The graph monoid $M_E$

**Theorem.** (Ara, Moreno, Pardo, 2007) Let $E$ be a finite graph with vertices $\{v_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, and let $K$ be any field. Then the assignment $[b_i] \mapsto [L_K(E)v_i]$ yields an isomorphism of monoids

$$M_E \cong \mathcal{V}(L_K(E)).$$

In particular, under this isomorphism, if $\vec{\rho} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$, we have $[\vec{\rho}] \mapsto [L_K(E)]$.

**Corollary.** Let $F$ be any finite graph, and $K$ any field. Let $\vec{\rho} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in M_F$. Then $L_K(F)$ has IBN if and only if for any pair of positive integers $m \neq m'$, we have $m\vec{\rho} \sim_F m'\vec{\rho}$. 
The graph monoid $M_E$

Example.

$$E = \bullet^u \xrightarrow{e} \bullet^v \xrightarrow{f} \bullet^w$$

Then $M_E \cong \mathbb{Z}^+$. In this identification,

$[\vec{\rho}] = [(1, 1, 1)] \mapsto 1 + 1 + 1 = 3.$

$m \neq m'$ obviously gives $m \cdot 3 \neq m' \cdot 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}^+$. So $L_K(E)$ has IBN.

Example.

$$R_2 = \bullet^v \xleftarrow{e} \xleftarrow{f}$$

$M_{R_2} \cong \{0, x\}$, and $[\vec{\rho}] \mapsto x$.

Since $1x = 2x$, $L_K(R_2)$ does not have IBN.
The graph monoid $M_E$

Key question: In a monoid of the form $M_E$, how do you show that two elements $[\vec{a}]$ and $[\vec{a}']$ are NOT equal?

One possible approach: Find an invariant for the generating relations of $\sim_E$.

Specifically: Find a function $\varphi : (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ with the property that if $\vec{c} \sim_E \vec{c}'$ in $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$, then $\varphi(\vec{c}) = \varphi(\vec{c}')$.

So if for some pair $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{a}'$ we have $\varphi(\vec{a}) \neq \varphi(\vec{a}')$, then $[\vec{a}]$ and $[\vec{a}]'$ are not equal in $M_E$. 
The key linear algebra result.

**Proposition.** Given integers $a_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i \leq t$, $1 \leq j \leq n$), there exist $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+t}$ in $\mathbb{Q}$ which satisfy the following system of $t + 1$ linear equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 &= w_1 + w_2 + \ldots + w_n + w_{n+1} + \ldots + w_{n+t} \\
 w_1 &= a_{11}w_1 + a_{12}w_2 + \ldots + a_{1n}w_n + a_{11}w_{n+1} + \ldots + a_{1t}w_{n+t} \\
 w_2 &= a_{21}w_1 + a_{22}w_2 + \ldots + a_{2n}w_n + a_{21}w_{n+1} + \ldots + a_{2t}w_{n+t} \\
 &\vdots \\
 w_t &= a_{t1}w_1 + a_{t2}w_2 + \ldots + a_{tn}w_n + a_{t1}w_{n+1} + \ldots + a_{tt}w_{n+t}
\end{align*}
\]
The key linear algebra result.

**Proof.** Consider this \((t + 1) \times (n + t)\) matrix:

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
& a_{11} - 1 & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1t} & \ldots & a_{1n} & a_{11} & \ldots & a_{1t} \\
& a_{21} & a_{22} - 1 & \ldots & a_{2t} & \ldots & a_{2n} & a_{21} & \ldots & a_{2t} \\
& \vdots \\
& a_{t1} & a_{t2} & \ldots & a_{tt} - 1 & \ldots & a_{tn} & a_{t1} & \ldots & a_{tt}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then the existence of desired rationals \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+t}\) is equivalent to the existence of a solution in \(\mathbb{Q}^{n+t}\) to the system \(B\vec{x} = (1, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)^t\).
The key linear algebra result.

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
1 - a_{11} & a_{12} & \ldots & a_{1t} & \ldots & a_{1n} & a_{11} & \ldots & a_{1t} \\
1 - a_{21} & 1 - a_{22} & \ldots & a_{2t} & \ldots & a_{2n} & a_{21} & \ldots & a_{2t} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 - a_{t1} & 1 - a_{t2} & \ldots & a_{tt} & \ldots & a_{tn} & a_{t1} & \ldots & a_{tt}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Claim: The \( t + 1 \) rows of \( B \) are linearly independent in \( \mathbb{Q}^{n+t} \).

Idea: Induction on the number of rows. The first two rows are linearly independent (Row 2 has two unequal entries).
The key linear algebra result.

\[ B = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
-1 & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1t} & a_{1n} & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1t} \\
& a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2t} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2t} \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
& & & a_{t1} & a_{t2} & \cdots & a_{tt} & a_{tn} & a_{t1} & \cdots & a_{tt}
\end{pmatrix} \]

Claim: The \( t + 1 \) rows of \( B \) are linearly independent in \( \mathbb{Q}^{n+t} \).

Idea: Induction on the number of rows. The first two rows are linearly independent (Row 2 has two unequal entries).

In a similar way, Row \( j + 1 \) can't be a linear combination of the first \( j \) rows, since in Row \( j + 1 \), the \( j^{th} \) entry \( a_{jj} - 1 \) is different than the \((j + n)^{th}\) entry \( a_{jj} \); but in each Row \( i \) (\( 1 \leq i \leq j \)), the \( i^{th} \) and \((i + n)^{th}\) entries are equal. \( \square \)
The Main Result.

**Theorem.** Let $E$ be any finite graph, and $K$ any field. Then the Cohn path algebra $C_K(E)$ has the Invariant Basis Number property.
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**Theorem.** Let $E$ be any finite graph, and $K$ any field. Then the Cohn path algebra $C_K(E)$ has the Invariant Basis Number property.

**Proof.** By Ara’s theorem we have $C_K(E) \cong L_K(F)$, where $F = F(E)$ as above. We show that $L_K(F)$ has IBN.

Recall that if $E^0 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$, and we label the regular vertices of $E$ as $v_1, \ldots, v_t$, then:

1) $F^0 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, v'_1, v'_2, \ldots, v'_t\}$ (so that $|F^0| = n + t$), and

2) the only regular vertices of $F$ are $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_t\}$.
The Main Result.

So if $A = A_E = (a_{i,j})$ is the incidence matrix of $E$, then the monoid $M_F$ is the monoid $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t}$, modulo the equivalence relation generated by setting

$$\vec{b}_i \sim_F (a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, ..., a_{i,n}, a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, ..., a_{i,t}) \quad \text{for each } 1 \leq i \leq t.$$
The Main Result.

Let $\vec{\rho}$ denote the element $(1, 1, ..., 1)$ of $(\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t}$. We establish, for any pair of positive integers $m \neq m'$, that $m\vec{\rho} \sim_F m'\vec{\rho}$.

Let $w_1, w_2, ..., w_{n+t} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be rationals which satisfy the linear system of the Linear Algebra result.
The Main Result.

Let \( \vec{\rho} \) denote the element \((1, 1, \ldots, 1)\) of \((\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t}\). We establish, for any pair of positive integers \(m \neq m'\), that \(m\vec{\rho} \sim_F m'\vec{\rho}\).

Let \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+t} \in \mathbb{Q}\) be rationals which satisfy the linear system of the Linear Algebra result. Define \(\Gamma : (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t} \to \mathbb{Q}\) by

\[
\Gamma((z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{n+t})) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+t} z_\ell w_\ell.
\]

Then \(\Gamma\) is clearly linear. Also, by the choice of \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{n+t}\), for any of the \(t\) generating relations for \(M_F\) we get that

\[
\Gamma(\vec{b}_i) = \Gamma((a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \ldots, a_{i,n}, a_{i,1}, a_{i,2}, \ldots, a_{i,t})).
\]
The Main Result.

So:

for any $\bar{a}, \bar{a}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t}$ with $\bar{a} \sim_F \bar{a}'$, we have $\Gamma(\bar{a}) = \Gamma(\bar{a}')$. \hfill (*)
The Main Result.

So:

for any $\vec{a}, \vec{a}' \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+t}$ with $\vec{a} \sim_F \vec{a}'$, we have $\Gamma(\vec{a}) = \Gamma(\vec{a}')$. (*)

But the $w_\ell$ have been chosen so that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{n+t} w_\ell = 1$. So in particular for any positive integer $m$ we get

$$\Gamma(m\vec{\rho}) = \Gamma((m, m, \ldots, m)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+t} mw_\ell = m \sum_{\ell=1}^{n+t} w_\ell = m \cdot 1 = m.$$ 

So for $m \neq m'$ we have $\Gamma(m\vec{\rho}) = m \neq m' = \Gamma(m'\vec{\rho})$.

So by (*) we conclude that if $m \neq m'$, then $m\vec{\rho} \sim_F m'\vec{\rho}$. □
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

Some concluding remarks.

1) Is the Main Result just an artifact of some more general result about the Leavitt path algebras of graphs with sinks?
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

Some concluding remarks.

1) Is the Main Result just an artifact of some more general result about the Leavitt path algebras of graphs with sinks?

No. There are many graphs with sinks for which the corresponding Leavitt path algebra does not have IBN. For instance:

\[ G = \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet \]

Then in \( M_G \) we have

\[ 1 \cdot \vec{\rho} = (1,1) = (1,0) + (0,1) \sim_G (2,1) + (0,1) = (2,2) = 2 \cdot \vec{\rho}, \]

so that \( L_K(G) \) does not have IBN.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

2) Is the Main Result just an artifact of some more general result about the elements of $\mathcal{V}(C_K(E))$?
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

2) Is the Main Result just an artifact of some more general result about the elements of \( \mathcal{V}(C_K(E)) \)?

No. There can be elements \( \vec{a} \) in \( \mathcal{V}(C_K(E)) \cong \mathcal{V}(L_K(F(E))) \) for which \( m\vec{a} = m'\vec{a} \) with \( m \neq m' \). For instance:

\[
\begin{align*}
E = R_2 &= \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\bigcirc \\
\cdot \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
\circ \\
\circ \\
\end{array} \\
F = F(R_2) &= \begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\bigcirc \\
\cdot \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\circ \\
\circ \\
\circ \\
\end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\( C_K(E) \cong L_K(F) \) has IBN. But consider \( \zeta = (1, 2) \in M_F \). Then

\[
\zeta = (1, 0) + (0, 2) \sim_F (2, 2) + (0, 2) = (2, 4) = 2\zeta.
\]
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

3) Is the Main Result just an artifact of a more general result which says that if you don’t put the (CK2) condition at SOME vertex then the resulting algebra must have IBN?
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.
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No. “Relative Cohn path algebras”.
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3) Is the Main Result just an artifact of a more general result which says that if you don’t put the (CK2) condition at SOME vertex then the resulting algebra must have IBN?

No. “Relative Cohn path algebras”.

For any $m, n$ with $m \geq 1$, we can construct a graph $E_{n,m}$ having $n$ vertices and having a subset of $m$ vertices, so that if we impose the (CK2) condition at those $m$ vertices, the resulting relative Cohn path algebra does not have IBN.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

4) There is a somewhat stronger conclusion:
Every Cohn path algebra has Invariant Matrix Number.

$$M_d(C_K(E)) \cong M_{d'}(C_K(E)) \iff d = d'.$$
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

5) There is another proof (given by P. Ara) of the Main Result, using an alternate description of $\mathcal{V}(C_K(E))$ (one which does NOT use properties of Leavitt path algebras).
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

6) Where are the tensor products?

Original motivating question:

Is $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(G)$ for some graph $G$?

If $E$ and $E'$ are both acyclic, then we know the answer. (Yes.)

If $E$ and $E'$ each have a cycle, then we know the answer. (No)

If just one of $E$, $E'$ has a cycle, and the other has at least one edge, then we DON'T know the answer.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.
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6) Where are the tensor products?

Original motivating question:

\[ C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(G) \] for some graph \( G \)?

If \( E \) and \( E' \) are both acyclic, then we know the answer. (Yes.)

If \( E \) and \( E' \) each have a cycle, then we know the answer. (No)
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6) Where are the tensor products?

Original motivating question:

Is $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(G)$ for some graph $G$?

If $E$ and $E'$ are both acyclic, then we know the answer. (Yes.)

If $E$ and $E'$ each have a cycle, then we know the answer. (No)

If just one of $E, E'$ has a cycle, and the other has at least one edge, then we DON'T know the answer.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

Can we gin up an example of a nontrivial acyclic graph $E$, and a graph with a cycle $E'$, for which $C_k(E) \otimes C_k(E') \cong C_k(G)$ for some graph $G$?

If $E = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$, then $C_k(E) \cong K \oplus M_2(K)$. (easy)

So $C_k(E) \otimes C_k(E') \cong C_k(E') \oplus M_2(C_k(E'))$ for any $E'$. 
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

Can we gin up an example of a nontrivial acyclic graph $E$, and a graph with a cycle $E'$, for which $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(G)$ for some graph $G$?

If $E = \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$, then $C_K(E) \cong K \oplus M_2(K)$. (easy)

So $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(E') \oplus M_2(C_K(E'))$ for any $E'$.

So IF we could find $E'$ for which $M_2(C_K(E')) \cong C_K(E')$, then $G = E' \sqcup E'$ would work.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

Can we gin up an example of a nontrivial acyclic graph $E$, and a graph with a cycle $E'$, for which $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(G)$ for some graph $G$?

If $E = \bullet \rightarrow \rightarrow \bullet$, then $C_K(E) \cong K \oplus M_2(K)$. (easy)

So $C_K(E) \otimes C_K(E') \cong C_K(E') \oplus M_2(C_K(E'))$ for any $E'$.

So if we could find $E'$ for which $M_2(C_K(E')) \cong C_K(E')$, then $G = E' \sqcup E'$ would work.

So we looked for a graph $E'$ for which $M_2(C_K(E')) \cong C_K(E')$.

Where to start the search? Find a graph $E'$ for which $C_K(E') \cong C_K(E') \oplus C_K(E')$ as left $C_K(E')$-modules.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

And, of course, we couldn’t find one.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

And, of course, we couldn’t find one.

We’re still working on the tensor product question.
Every Cohn path algebra has IBN.

And, of course, we couldn’t find one.

We’re still working on the tensor product question.

Questions?