

Solutions

Exam 1 - Math 3410

Intro to Analysis

Dr. Radu C. Cascaval, Spring 2015

1. Determine whether the following statements are TRUE or FALSE. Justify your answers.

(a) $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

TRUE: Assume the contrary, that is $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} = r \in \mathbb{Q}$
 Then $\sqrt{2} = r - \sqrt{3} \Rightarrow 2 = (r - \sqrt{3})^2 = r^2 - 2r\sqrt{3} + 3 \Rightarrow \sqrt{3} = \frac{r^2 + 1}{2r} \in \mathbb{Q}$
 But we know $\sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. The contradiction shows $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

(b) Every open interval (a, b) contains infinitely many irrationals.

TRUE By density of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, any interval in $\mathbb{R}$ contains at least one irrational. We get infinitely many irrationals but dividing (a, b) into half, then repeat the process.

(c) If $\liminf s_n = -\infty$ then $\lim s_n = -\infty$.

FALSE Ex $s_n = (-2)^n$. $\liminf s_n = -\infty$ since the subsequence $s_{2k+1} = -2^{2k+1} \rightarrow -\infty$ but the $\lim s_n$ does not exist. ($\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{2k} = +\infty$)

(d) If (s_n) and (t_n) converge to s and t respectively, and $s_n < t_n$ for all n , then $s < t$.

FALSE Ex: $s_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, $t_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$, $s_n < t_n$ for all n but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$ so $s = t$.

(e) Every open interval (a, b) contains infinitely many irrationals.

(f) If S is a bounded set of real numbers, then $\sup S \in S$.

FALSE $S = (0, 1)$ (open interval), then $\sup S = 1 \notin S$.

(g) If $\lim s_n = +\infty$ then s_n is monotone.

FALSE Ex: $(s_n) = (1, -1, 2, 0, 3, 1, 4, 2, \dots)$ has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = +\infty$ but is not monotone.
 ($s_{2k+1} = k+1$ and $s_{2k} = k-2$).

Statement P_n

2. Show by mathematical induction that $n! > 2^n$, for all $n > 3$.

$$n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots n$$

$$2^n = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdots 2$$

$$\text{For } n=4 : 4! = 24 > 16 = 2^4$$

So P_4 is true

Induction step: Assume P_n , prove P_{n+1} : ($n > 3$)

$$(n+1)! = n!(n+1) > 2^n \cdot (n+1) > 2^n \cdot 2 = 2^{n+1}$$

(by induction hypothesis) (since $n > 1$)

So P_{n+1} is also true!

3. Find the following limit:

$$\lim_n (\sqrt{n^2 + 2n} - \sqrt{n^2 - 3n}) =$$

[rationalize the numerator]

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^2 + 2n - (n^2 - 3n)}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n} + \sqrt{n^2 - 3n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5n}{\sqrt{n^2 + 2n} + \sqrt{n^2 - 3n}} =$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{5}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{n}} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{n}}} = \frac{5}{\sqrt{1+0} + \sqrt{1+0}} = \frac{5}{2}$$

(using properties of limits)

4. Let (s_n) be defined by $s_1 = \sqrt{2}$ and $s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + s_n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Show that each term s_n is an irrational number.

We show this by induction. We know already (from class) that $s_1 = \sqrt{2}$ is irrational. We now assume s_n is irrational and show s_{n+1} is also irrational.

We assume the contrary, that s_n is irrational but s_{n+1} is rational, that is $s_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$s_{n+1}^2 = 2 + s_n \Rightarrow s_n = s_{n+1}^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q},$$

This contradicts the induction hypothesis (that $s_n \notin \mathbb{Q}$)
So this completes the induction argument!

(b) Prove that (s_n) is monotone and bounded.

We can see that $s_2 = \sqrt{2 + s_1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} > \sqrt{2} = s_1$, so we will try to prove that s_n is monotonically increasing

$$s_n < s_{n+1}.$$

To find an upper bound, we note that if such a sequence is bounded, then it is convergent and the limit has to satisfy

(c) Find $\lim_n s_n$.

$$s = \sqrt{2 + s} \Rightarrow s^2 - s - 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (s+1)(s-2) = 0$$

So we prove by induction

$$s = 2$$

$$s_n < s_{n+1} < 2$$

(this will be the

Indeed, clearly $s_1 < s_2 < 2$

answer in (c): $\lim_n s_n = 2$)

Assuming $s_{n-1} < s_n < 2$, then we see

$$s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + s_n} < \sqrt{2 + 2} = \sqrt{4} = 2$$

and

$$s_{n+1} > s_n \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{2 + s_n} > s_n \Leftrightarrow s_n^2 - s_n - 2 < 0 \text{ (true)}$$

Since $s_n < 2$

5. Given two sets of real numbers S and T , we define the new set

$$S + T = \{s + t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}.$$

(a) Show that, if S and T are bounded

$$\inf(S + T) = \inf(S) + \inf(T)$$

and the same for the sup.

We show $\inf(S + T) \leq \inf S + \inf T$ ~~(*)~~

$$\inf(S + T) \geq \inf S + \inf T. (**)$$

[all inf's exist as real #s, since S, T and $S + T$ bounded]

Since $s \geq \inf S \quad \forall s \in S$ and $t \geq \inf T, \forall t \in T \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow s + t \geq \inf S + \inf T, \forall s + t \in S + T \Rightarrow \inf(S + T) \geq \inf S + \inf T.$$

This proves (**).

To prove (*), $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists s \in S$ with $s < \inf S + \varepsilon/2$
 $\exists t \in T$ with $t < \inf T + \varepsilon/2$

$$\Rightarrow s + t < \inf S + \inf T + \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \inf S + \inf T + \varepsilon \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \inf(S + T) \leq s + t < \inf S + \inf T + \varepsilon. \text{ Since this is true for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

(b) Describe the set $S + T$, where $S = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $T = [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\inf S + \inf T \leq \inf S + \inf T$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} s \in S \Rightarrow s \text{ is rational in } [0, 1] \\ t \in T \Rightarrow t \text{ is irrational in } [0, 1] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow s + t \text{ is irrational in } [0, 2]$$

On the other hand,
 any irrational in $[0, 2]$, say q ,
 can be written as a sum
 of a rational (say $s = 1$)
 and an irrational (say $t = q - 1$)

$$\text{so that } q = 1 + (q - 1) \in S + T$$

$$S + T \subset [0, 2] \setminus \mathbb{Q}.$$

We conclude

$$\boxed{S + T = [0, 2] \setminus \mathbb{Q}}$$

6. (a) State the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem.

Every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence.

(b) Suppose (s_n) is a bounded sequence such that all convergent subsequences converge to the same number s . Prove that (s_n) must converge to s . [Hint: Assume that $s_n \not\rightarrow s$, then apply Bolzano-Weierstrass to a conveniently chosen subsequence].

Assume the contrary, that s_n does not converge to s .
So $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, such that $|s_n - s| > \varepsilon$ for infinitely many n 's.
Let (s_{n_k}) be such a subsequence for which $|s_{n_k} - s| > \varepsilon$.
By Bolzano Weierstrass applied to the set $\{s_{n_k}\}$ which is bounded, $\exists$ a (sub)subsequence $s_{n_{k_p}}$ which converges.
By hypothesis though $s_{n_{k_p}} \rightarrow s$. This contradicts

(c) Determine whether the sequence

$$s_n = \frac{n}{n+1} \cos \frac{n\pi}{2}$$

$$|s_{n_{k_p}} - s| > \varepsilon \quad \forall p.$$

Done!

is bounded or not. Does it have convergent subsequences? Is (s_n) convergent itself? Explain!

$$\text{Since } \left(\cos \frac{n\pi}{2}\right)_{n \geq 0} = 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, \dots$$

$$\text{it means } |s_n| = \left|\frac{n}{n+1}\right| \leq 1 \Rightarrow s_n \text{ is bounded.}$$

s_n does have convergent subsequences

$$(\text{e.g. } s_1, s_3, s_5, \dots \rightarrow 0, \text{ since } s_{2k+1} = 0 \quad \forall k.)$$

$$\text{also } s_0, s_4, s_8, \dots \rightarrow 1, \text{ since } s_{4k} = \frac{4k}{4k+1} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{and } s_2, s_6, s_{10}, \dots \rightarrow -1 \text{ since } s_{4k+2} = -\frac{4k+2}{4k+3} \rightarrow -1$$

Therefore, s_n is not convergent (as a whole).