

MATH 4/5420 - Optimization

Midterm Exam - Spring 2017

In Class Portion

1. (a) Given the linear function $f(x) = b^T x$, where $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, compute the gradient ∇f , using the directional derivative calculation. [Avoid the use of coordinates in your proof]

(b) Given the quadratic function $f(x) = x^T A x$, where A is a symmetric matrix, positive definite matrix, show that $x^* = 0$ is the unique global minimizer for f .

2. Using Taylor's Theorem

$$f(x+p) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T p + \frac{1}{2} p^T \nabla^2 f(x+tp) p, \text{ for some } t \in (0, 1)$$

prove that if x^* is such that $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, then: [Choose only one of the two]

(a) [Necessary condition] If x^* is a (local) minimizer, then $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ is positive semidefinite.

(b) [Sufficient condition] If $\nabla^2 f(x^*)$ is positive definite, then x^* is a (local) minimizer.

(c) What can happen in (b) if the Hessian is not positive definite but only positive semi-definite? Give an example in 1-dim and 2-dim.

3. Consider the optimization problem

$$\min \|Ax - b\|^2$$

where A is a (possibly nonsquare) $m \times n$ matrix with $m \geq n$, and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

(a) Rewrite the objective function as a quadratic function of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and write down (without computing) the gradient and Hessian of this quadratic function.

(b) Show that if A has maximum rank (all n columns are linearly independent), then the quadratic function is strictly convex and hence the minimization problem has a unique minimizer.

(c) Write down the steepest descent method with exact line search. Then perform the first iteration, that is, given a starting point x^0 , compute x^1 .

4. Consider the least-square approximation problem

$$\min_{x_1, x_2} \sum_j |y_j - (x_1 + x_2 t_j)|^2$$

of finding the line that best fits the data set $\{(t_j, y_j)\} = \{(-1, 1), (0, -1), (1, 0), (2, 2)\}$, which amounts to finding the solution to the minimization problem

$$\min \|Ax - b\|^2$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Following the steps in exercise 3, find the minimizer x^* and give a reason for why the steepest descent method converges.

Take Home Portion (Due Wed, March 22, before class)

5. (a) Find (if any), all 'critical' points (where $\nabla f(x) = 0$) for the function

$$f(x) = 5x_1^2 + 2x_2 - x_1^2x_2^2$$

. Solve analytically, i.e. do not use an iterative method.

(b) Classify each point as a local min, local max or saddle.

(c) Apply the Newton method with $x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, to obtain $x^{(k)}$, for $k = 1 \dots 10$. Does this method descend at each iteration? Does it converge?

6. Suppose in Newton's method at iteration k , the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(x^{(k)})$ is not invertible. One approach to get around this is to switch to a quasi-Newton iteration

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \alpha_k H_k \nabla f(x^{(k)}).$$

where H_k is computed via say the BFGS method from the previous iteration.

Implement this method and apply it to the function $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 4 * x_1 * x_2 + 4x_2^2$.

7. Consider the following fixed-step-size modification of the steepest descent method:

$$x^{k+1} = x^k + \alpha p_k, \quad p_k = -\nabla f_k, \quad \alpha > 0 \text{ (fixed)}$$

.

Implement it for the quadratic function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x$$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, and $b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Determine the range of values of $\alpha > 0$ for which the iterations x^k converge to the minimizer x^* . You may pick several initial starting points x^0 .

8. Find the solution of the system $Ax = b$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

by minimizing via the Conjugate gradient method the quadratic function

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x$$

(b) Should you check that the matrix A is positive definite when applying this method?

(c) Consider the Conjugate Gradient method applied to solving $Ax = 0$ with A is invertible, but not positive definite:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and } b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Run the standard CG method (can use the FR version of the code) with starting point at $x^0 = [140]^T$ (or pick your own) and show that the CG iterates do not converge to 0 (the unique solution $x^* = 0$ of the system $Ax = 0$), the initial starting point $x^0 = [140]^T$. Finally, modify the CG method by resetting β_k to 0 periodically to see if the convergence is improved.