Enhancement of heat and mass transfer by herringbone microstructures in a simple shear flow

Cite as: Phys. Fluids **34**, 082012 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0094725 Submitted: 05 April 2022 • Accepted: 15 July 2022 • Accepted Manuscript Online: 17 July 2022 • Published Online: 09 August 2022

🔟 Yanxing Wang, 🔟 Hui Wan, 🔟 Tie Wei, et al.



ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Computational modeling of drug dissolution in the human stomach: Effects of posture and gastroparesis on drug bioavailability Physics of Fluids **34**, 081904 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0096877

Effect of rough wall on drag, lift, and torque on an ellipsoidal particle in a linear shear flow Physics of Fluids **34**, 083312 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0093232

Electrical explosion in confined space: From warm dense matter to fragmentation Physics of Fluids **34**, 087108 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0101287

APL Machine Learning Open, quality research for the networking communities





Phys. Fluids **34**, 082012 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0094725 © 2022 Author(s).

LEARN MORE

Export Citatio

Enhancement of heat and mass transfer by herringbone microstructures in a simple shear flow

Cite as: Phys. Fluids **34**, 082012 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0094725 Submitted: 5 April 2022 · Accepted: 15 July 2022 · Published Online: 9 August 2022

Yanxing Wang,^{1,a)} 🕞 Hui Wan,² 🕞 Tie Wei,³ 🕞 and John Abraham⁴ 🝺

AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003, USA ²Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of Colorado Colorado Springs, Colorado 80918, USA ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, New Mexico 87801, USA ⁴Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of St. Thomas, St. Paul, Minnesota 55105, USA

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: yxwang@nmsu.edu

ABSTRACT

The heat and mass transfer characteristics of a simple shear flow over a surface covered with staggered herringbone structures are numerically investigated using the lattice Boltzmann method. Two flow motions are identified. The first is a spiral flow oscillation above the herringbone structures that advect heat and mass from the top plane to herringbone structures. The second is a flow recirculation in the grooves between the ridges that advect heat and mass from the area around the tips of the structures to their side walls and the bottom surfaces. These two basic flow motions couple together to form a complex transport mechanism. The results show that when advective heat and mass transfer takes effect at relatively large Reynolds and Schmidt numbers, the dependence of the total transfer rate on Schmidt number follows a power law, with the exponent being the same as that in the Dittus–Boelter equation for turbulent heat transfer. As the Reynolds number increases, the dependence of the total transfer rate on the Reynolds number also approaches a power law, and the exponent is close to that in the Dittus–Boelter equation.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0094725

I. INTRODUCTION

Microfluidics has been the subject of considerable attention in recent decades with the development of microfabrication technologies, and they have been widely used in fundamental and applied studies of physical, chemical, and biological processes.¹⁻³ In microchannels, due to their small scale, the flow is highly laminar, and diffusion is usually the primary mechanism for species transport and mixing. This diffusion takes place on much longer length and time scales than does convection. Embedded micro-structures on the surface of micro-channels can be used, however, to generate transverse flow, and chaotic advection can be produced. The transverse flow advects dissolved substances over the cross section and significantly enhances mixing and transport efficiency.^{4,5} One of the most efficient chaotic micromixers is the staggered herringbone mixer (SHM) developed by Stroock et al.⁴ Repeated patterns of grooves on the inner surface of the SHM create helical motion of the fluid in the microchannels, providing a mixing and transport mechanism through transversal advection.

Due to the simple fabrication and high mixing efficiency of the SHM, numerous studies have been conducted on it, including analysis of the geometric effects on mixing efficiency,^{6–8} the design and optimization of micro-mixers for different applications,^{9–13} and the development of new designs for specific applications.^{14,15} Most of these studies, however, focus on the mixing of two species in T-type SHM mixers; in this configuration, two flows containing different species enter the mixer through two inlet branches in a T shape,¹⁶ generating a helical pattern of flow. Several studies have shown that SHM can significantly enhance convective and boiling heat transfer inside microchannels.^{17,18} The results of these studies suggest that transverse advection generated in SHM mixer flows might enhance heat and mass transport between the bulk flow and the bottom wall. This promising mechanism might have broad applications in compact heat exchangers and microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and could also be extended to chemistry, biology, and areas involving micro-scale mass transfer. Surprisingly, the heat and mass

scitation.org/journal/phf

transfer capacity of herringbone-inspired microstructures has gained little attention to date.

In a micro-channel embedded with staggered herringbone structures, a boundary layer with reduced velocity components and a helical flow pattern is developed over the structures. The generation of the helical flow pattern is directly related to the shear rate and thickness of the boundary layer. In this study, we consider a fully developed simple shear flow confined by two infinitely large planes with staggered herringbone structures embedded on the lower surface. With the lattice Boltzmann method, the heat and mass transfer from the top plane to the bottom plane is numerically investigated. This study aims to identify the mechanisms for the enhancement of heat and mass transfer by the transverse flow advection over the staggered herringbone structures. This study also investigates the dependence of transfer efficiency on influencing parameters, such as shear rate, the Prandtl number, and the Schmidt number. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. A detailed description of the physical model is presented in Sec. II. The numerical method is described in Sec. III. The results are analyzed in Sec. IV, and concluding remarks are made in Sec. V.

II. PHYSICAL MODEL

As shown in Fig. 1, we model a laminar incompressible simple shear flow enclosed by two infinitely large parallel planes, with staggered herringbone structures embedded on the bottom plane. To generate a simple shear flow, the top plane moves at a constant velocity U_0 , and the bottom plane is fixed. The distance between the two planes, H, is three times the height of the herringbone ridges, h. The width of the herringbone ridges, w, is half of h, and the spacing between neighboring ridges δ is the same as *h*. The angle between the ridges and the streamwise axis, θ , is fixed at 45°. The regular arrangement of the ridges forms the periodicity of the surface geometry in both the streamwise and spanwise directions. The streamwise dimension of each unit containing a complete herringbone element can be calculated as $L_x = (\delta + w)/\sin\theta$. The spanwise dimension of each unit L_{y} is $2L_{x}$. With these geometric specifications, the flow evolution is exclusively determined by the shear Reynolds number defined based on the effective shear rate S and the flow passage height H - h. The effective shear rate is defined as

$$S \equiv \frac{U_0}{H-h},\tag{1}$$

and the shear Reynolds number is defined as

$$Re_{\rm S} \equiv \frac{{\rm S}(H-h)^2}{\nu},\tag{2}$$

where ν is the kinematic viscosity of the working fluid. In this study, the shear Reynolds number ranges from 20 to 200. Below that range, the diffusive transfer plays a dominant role.

We seek to develop a general understanding of the enhancement of heat and mass transfer induced by herringbone structures, so the shear Reynolds numbers considered here are higher than those usual in microfluidic systems. In this study, the temperature and the concentration of dissolved species are modeled as passive scalars, released at the top plane and absorbed at both the bottom plane and the surfaces of herringbone ridges. For purposes of generalization, a nondimensional scalar concentration is utilized, which is fixed at 1 at the top plane and 0 at the bottom plane and the surfaces of herringbone ridges. The Schmidt number for scalar diffusion in this study ranges from 1 to 50. The characteristics of scalar transfer from top plane to bottom surfaces are investigated in both diffusion-dominant and advection-dominant ranges.

The height of the herringbone ridges h and the velocity of top plane U_0 are used as the characteristic length and velocity to normalize the spatial coordinates and flow velocity

$$\tilde{x} = x/h. \tag{3}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}/U_0. \tag{4}$$

In normalized form, the height of the herringbone ridges is $\tilde{h} = 1$ and the velocity of the upper plane is $\tilde{U}_0 = 1$.

III. NUMERICAL METHODS

The lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) has particular advantages in its ability to handle, with relative ease, complex boundaries with heat and mass transfer. In this study, we develop a 3D numerical model based on the LBM to model continuum-level meso- and microscale incompressible fluid flow in contact with complex surface geometries. A well-established tool, LBM, has been widely used to study the fluid dynamics on surfaces with complex structures.¹⁹ LBM is well suited to the present problem because of its powerful capability in dealing with complex geometries and its high parallelizability. The dependent variable is the particle distribution function $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, which quantifies the probability of finding an ensemble of molecules at position \mathbf{x} with velocity \mathbf{e}_{α} at time t.^{20–24} In three dimensions, the velocity vector \mathbf{e} can be discretized into 15, 19, or 27 components (referred to as D3Q15, D3Q19, and D3Q27).²⁰ Here, we apply the D3Q15



FIG. 1. Physical model of heat and mass transfer in a simple shear flow with herringbone structures on bottom wall. (a) 3D view and (b) top view. The dashed rectangle indicates the domain of numerical simulation.

Phys. Fluids **34**, 082012 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0094725 Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing approach, primarily to minimize computational load, with the recognition that the Reynolds number is relatively low.

The Boltzmann equation discretized on a lattice with the Bhatnager-Gross-Krook (BGK) form of collision operator is given for single-phase flow by Chen and Doolen,²¹ Lallemand and Luo,^{25,26} and Wang *et al.*,²²

$$f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta_{t}, t + \delta_{t}) - f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t) - f_{\alpha}^{eq}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right), \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{e}_{α} is the elementary velocity vector in direction α , τ is the relaxation time, and f_{α}^{eq} is the equilibrium distribution function in direction α :

$$f_{\alpha}^{eq}(\mathbf{x},t) = w_{\alpha}\rho\left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{c_{s}^{2}} + \frac{(\mathbf{e}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{u})^{2}}{2c_{s}^{4}} - \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}}{2c_{s}^{2}}\right). \tag{6}$$

The symbol w_{α} is the weighting coefficient, c_s is the sound speed in the lattice, and **u** is the fluid velocity. The right-hand side of Eq. (5) describes the mixing, or collision of molecules, that locally drives the flow to an equilibrium particle distribution, $f_{\alpha}^{eq}(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Macroscopic variables such as density ρ and velocity **u** are calculated from the moments of the distribution functions

$$\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t), \quad \rho(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}.$$
 (7)

As is common, we apply the BGK model for this collision process, through which the distribution functions $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ relax toward $f_{\alpha}^{eq}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ with a single lattice relaxation timescale

$$\tau = (6\nu/(c\delta x) + 1)/2$$
 and $c = \delta x/\delta t$. (8)

In the traditional treatment of solid boundaries, a solid wall is assumed to be located halfway between the lattice nodes, and molecules traveling toward the wall are bounced back at the wall and returned to the same node. Consequently, the distribution function in the left direction is the same as that in the right direction before streaming. The accuracy of this scheme is only first order. In the present study, we use the second-order accurate scheme proposed by Lallemand and Luo.²³ This scheme is based on a simple bounce-back treatment and interpolations. If the distance from the first fluid node to the solid boundary, q, is less than half the lattice space, the computational quantities are interpolated before propagation and bounce-back collision. If q is greater than the half-lattice space, interpolation is conducted after propagation and bounce-back collision. The momentum exerted by the moving boundary is treated as an extra term in the bounce-back distribution function.

The moment propagation method developed by Frenkel and Ernst,²⁷ Lowe and Frenkel,²⁸ and Merks *et al.*²⁹ is used to solve for temperature. In this method, a scalar quantity *T* is released in the lattice and a scalar concentration field variable is propagated at the continuum level for each scalar using the particle distribution function $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$T(\mathbf{x}, t + \delta t) = \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t + \delta t) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{x}, t), \qquad (9)$$

where

$$P_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t + \delta t) = \left[\frac{\hat{f}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t_{+})}{\rho(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t)} - w_{\alpha}\Lambda\right]T(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t).$$
(10)

 $f_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t, t_{+})$ is the distribution function of post-collision but before-streaming, which transports scalar from the neighboring nodes $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\delta t$ to the node \mathbf{x} between *t* and $t + \delta t$, and

$$\Lambda = 1 - 6\varepsilon/c\delta x,\tag{11}$$

and ε is the scalar diffusivity.

We first ran the simulation over five structural elements in the streamwise direction with cases with $Re_S = 200$. The results demonstrated that the spatial periodicity of flow pattern is the same as the streamwise length of the structural element. Therefore, in the subsequent large-scale study, we only simulate the flow within the cuboid domain including one structural element [indicated by dashed lines in Fig. 1(b)]. Periodic conditions are used in the streamwise and spanwise directions. The total number of computational grid cells is $100 \times 200 \times 140$, and 24 grid cells are used to resolve the thickness of the herringbone ridge. The computational domain is decomposed into 56 subdomains. The message passing interface (MPI) technique is used to enable parallel computing. The analysis is conducted when the flow has reached steady state.

The LBM solver has been extensively validated in previous studies, as described in Wang et al.^{22,30-32} For the present study, we also consider heat transfer in a lid-driven cavity flow in a cube with side length L as a further validation. The lid moves at a constant velocity, U_{lid} . Temperature is fixed at T_{lid} on the moving lid and at 0 on the side and bottom walls. Two governing nondimensional parameters are the Reynolds number Re_{cavity} defined as $Re_{cavity} \equiv U_{lid}L/\nu$, where ν is the kinetic viscosity of the fluid and the Prandtl number Pr is defined as $Pr \equiv \nu/\alpha$, where α is thermal diffusivity. The case with $Re_{cavity} = 1$ and Pr = 1 is simulated with both the present LBM solver and the open-source code Gerris.^{33,34} The grid dimensions are 100×100 $\times 100$ in both simulations. Figure 2 shows a comparison of the normalized vertical velocity ($\tilde{u}_z \equiv u_z/U_{lid}$) and temperature (ϕ $\equiv T/T_{lid}$) on the middle xz plane and along the central streamwise axis. The two results are in good agreement, with a maximum relative error of 3% for point-to-point comparisons for velocity and temperature.

To examine the grid sensitivity of the results, simulations were conducted with two grids of different resolutions. The number of grid points over one ridge thickness of the herringbone structures is 24 and 36, respectively. Figure 3 shows the profiles of the horizontally averaged streamwise velocity and scalar concentration for $Re_S = 200$ and Sc = 50, respectively. Excellent agreement between the coarse and fine grid is obtained; the maximum deviation between the two grids is less than 2%. The present results were obtained, therefore, using the coarse grid.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Scalar transport from the top plane to the bottom plane relies on both diffusion and the advection induced by the herringbone structures, and advective transport is dominant when the Reynolds and Schmidt numbers are large. Our focus is on the identification of the mechanisms for the enhancement of scalar transfer by herringbone structures. $Re_S = 200$ was selected as a prototypical example to analyze the flow behavior and scalar transfer characteristics.

Figure 4 shows the patterns of flow characteristics for $Re_S = 200$. Taking advantage of the periodicities in the streamwise and spanwise directions, only the flow within the cuboid domain including a single



FIG. 2. Vertical velocity (\tilde{u}_z) and temperature ($\tilde{\phi}$) using the present LBM method (solid lines) and the open-source code Gerris (dashed lines). (a) Iso-contours of \tilde{u}_z on the middle *xz* plane, (b) iso-contours of $\tilde{\phi}$ on the middle *xz* plane, and (c) profiles of \tilde{u}_z and $\tilde{\phi}$ along the central streamwise axis.

structural element was simulated. The patterns containing multiple elements shown in the figure were generated by concatenating the results from the simulation of a single element. Typical streamlines over herringbone structures are shown in Fig. 4(a). In the steady state, the streamlines coincide with the trajectories of fluid particles, illustrating the path of scalar transport by flow advection. The color represents the level of scalar concentration at Sc = 50. The red and blue colors indicate higher and lower concentrations, respectively. The streamline pattern shows how the flow transports a scalar from the bulk flow to the surfaces in the herringbone grooves for absorption: The fluid with higher scalar concentration flows downward in the area above the streamwise rows of backward groove tips (BGT). Then, the flow is entrapped in the grooves at the BGT and recirculates within the grooves. At the forward groove tips (FGT), the fluid flows upward and leaves the groove with lower scalar concentration. This flow motion



FIG. 3. Effect of grid resolution on horizontally averaged streamwise velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ and scalar concentration $\langle \phi \rangle$ at $Re_S = 200$ and Sc = 50. N_p is the number of grid points over one herringbone ridge.

provides the fluid contact with the surfaces of the herringbone ridges and the bottom surface between ridges, and enhances the heat and mass exchange between the bulk flow and the surface geometry.

Figure 4(b) shows iso-surfaces of the vertical velocity at $\tilde{u}_z = \pm 0.005$. Since the flow is disturbed in the lower region, the magnitude of \tilde{u}_z is larger than that in the upper region. As shown in the figure, a region with negative \tilde{u}_z is generated above every BGT and a region with positive \tilde{u}_z is generated above every FGT. The iso-surfaces of \tilde{u}_z are consistent with the streamline patterns in Fig. 4(a). Figure 4(c) shows the corresponding 2D iso-contours of vertical velocity averaged over the streamwise coordinate, $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle_x$, on the cross section $[(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \text{ plane}]$. The variable averaged over the streamwise coordinate is defined as

$$\langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle_x(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \equiv \frac{1}{\tilde{L}_x} \int\limits_{\tilde{L}_x} \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) d\tilde{x},$$
 (12)

where $\tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ is the variable of interest, and \tilde{L}_x is the length of a complete herringbone element in the streamwise direction. The isosurfaces of \tilde{u}_z in Fig. 4(b) and iso-contours of $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle_r$ in Fig. 4(c) describe the patterns of vertical velocity of the fluid that has been disturbed by the herringbone structures. Compared with the velocity at the top plane, the vertical velocity around the herringbone structures is much smaller. This implies that the pitch of the helix is much larger than the height of flow passage (H - h). It is well known that each unit of staggered herringbone structures generates a pair of counterrotating tubular eddies extending in the streamwise direction in the upper region and the fluid particle flows along a helical trajectory in the tubular eddies.^{2,4} The helical pattern can be inferred from the 2D streamlines, based on the streamwise-averaged velocity components, $\langle \tilde{u}_{v} \rangle_{r}$ and $\langle \tilde{u}_{z} \rangle_{r}$, as shown in Fig. 4(d). The closed streamlines define the recirculating motions in the (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) plane of the helix. This continuous upward and downward flow motion enhances the scalar transport in the vertical direction.

To quantitatively compare the flow characteristics under different conditions, we define the horizontally averaged quantities as

$$\langle \tilde{\beta} \rangle(\tilde{z}) \equiv \frac{1}{A} \int_{A} \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) d\tilde{x} d\tilde{y},$$
 (13)



FIG. 4. Flow patterns induced by herringbone structures in a simple shear flow at $Re_{\rm S}=200$. (a) Typical stream traces trapped in the grooves of herringbone structures, (b) iso-surfaces of vertical velocity at $\tilde{u}_z=\pm 0.005$, (c) 2D contours of vertical velocity averaged over streamwise coordinate $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle_x$, and (d) streamline patterns based on the streamwise-averaged velocity components $\langle \tilde{u}_y \rangle_x$ and $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle_x$ on a cross section. Letters B and F in (c) and (d) indicate the positions of backward and forward connecting points of the grooves.

where $\hat{\beta}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})$ is the quantity of interest that varies with spatial coordinates and A is the horizontal area occupied by fluid at different vertical locations on a herringbone element. The averaged variable $\langle \tilde{\beta} \rangle$ is a function of the vertical coordinate \tilde{z} only. The horizontally averaged vertical velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle$ represents the net flux of fluid (flow per unit area) through the horizontal plane. As a result of mass conservation, $\langle \tilde{u}_z \rangle$ is zero for the present problem. To quantify the wall-normal advective transfer capability, we define the effective upward and downward velocity as

$$\tilde{u}_z^+ \equiv \begin{cases} \tilde{u}_z, & \text{if } \tilde{u}_z > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \tilde{u}_z < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}_z^- \equiv \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \tilde{u}_z > 0, \\ \tilde{u}_z, & \text{if } \tilde{u}_z < 0. \end{cases}$$
(14)

In this study, we use \tilde{u}_z^- to deal with the heat and mass transfer from the bulk flow to the bottom surface.

Figure 5 plots the profiles of horizontally averaged streamwise velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ and the effective downward velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle$ at $Re_S = 20$ and 200. The horizontal dashed line indicates the height of the herringbone structures. The curves of $\langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ show little difference between $Re_S = 20$ and 200, decreasing roughly linearly above the ridge tips $(\tilde{u} > 1)$, from 1 at the upper plane to a small value close to 0 at the ridge tips. In the grooves $(\tilde{u} < 1), \langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ remains small. The roughly linear variation of $\langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ above the grooves suggests that the resistance of the herringbone structures is close to that of a smooth plane. The effective resistance of the herringbone structures is related to both structure geometry and fluid behavior. That is, the gaps between the ridges decrease the horizontal wall area at ridge-tip height, and so decrease the resistance, yet the vertical flow advection (Fig. 4) increases the flow shear stress on the top surfaces of the ridges. For larger Reynolds numbers, this increase is larger. In the steady state, the



FIG. 5. Profiles of horizontally averaged velocity components. (a) Streamwise velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_x \rangle$ and (b) effective downward velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle$. The horizontal dashed line indicates the top of the herringbone ridges.

resistance caused by the herringbone structures is the same as the shear force on the tops of the ridges. For the present geometry, the ratio of the resistance over the herringbone structures to that over smooth plane at ridge-tip height is 0.97 and 1.02 for $Re_S = 20$ and 200, respectively.

The effective downward velocity $\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle$ curves [Fig. 5(b)] are different for $Re_s = 20$ and 200, which suggests that the influence of the Reynolds number primarily occurs with the vertical velocity component. The curves of $\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle$ have two peaks, one above the herringbone ridge tips and the other below, corresponding to the consecutive upward and downward flow motions in the helix in the upper region and the flow recirculation in the grooves, respectively. Close to the herringbone structures, the velocity magnitude is larger, so the upper peak is close to the herringbone tips. The magnitude of $\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle$ largely indicates the advective scalar transport capability of the two flows. As shown in the figure, the value of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z^- \rangle|$ for $Re_s = 20$ is larger at the upper peak and smaller at the lower peak, suggesting that the upper bulk flow at $Re_s = 20$ has greater capability for scalar transport to the herringbone top surfaces and to the recirculating flow in the grooves.

In the grooves, the situation is just the opposite. The flow at $Re_S = 200$ shows greater capability for scalar transport to the bottom surface than the flow at $Re_S = 20$. This is because the flow recirculation in the grooves is more active at larger Reynolds numbers, while at smaller Reynolds number, the greater viscous effect in the upper region enables the disturbance from the herringbone structures to propagate further downstream. The overall heat and mass transfer process depends on the coupling of these two mechanisms through a complex relationship, but generally the flows at larger Reynolds numbers have a stronger advective scalar transport capability.

Scalar transport also depends on the Schmidt number. For heat transfer, the corresponding characteristic number is the Prandtl number. Here, we use the flow at $Re_S = 200$ to analyze the effect of the Schmidt number. Figure 6 shows the patterns of scalar concentration for Sc = 1 and 50. At Sc = 1, the diffusion effect is so strong that the

diffusive scalar transport dominates the advective scalar transport. The 3D iso-surfaces and 2D contours on two typical cross sections shown in Fig. 6(a) suggest that the scalar concentration ϕ changes roughly linearly with the vertical coordinate in the flow above the herringbone structure. The herringbone ridges cause only a slight disturbance to the distribution of ϕ . In the grooves, ϕ is low. This pattern means that the majority of the scalar is absorbed at the top surface. Further, the advection of the recirculating flow is the primary means for scalar transport in the grooves, and the reduced advective scalar transport of the recirculating flow at lower *Sc* cannot effectively transport a scalar to the bottom surfaces in the grooves.

At Sc = 50, the diffusive scalar transport is reduced and the advective transport becomes dominant. A comparison of Fig. 6(b) with Fig. 4 suggests that the flow rises, with lower scalar concentration, along the streamwise FGT rows, and falls, with higher scalar concentration, along the streamwise BGT rows. In the grooves, the recirculating flow helps to transport the scalar to the bottom surfaces and the side walls of the herringbone ridges. In the top region, the flow acquires the scalar from the top plane through scalar diffusion. These consecutive steps articulate the whole process of scalar transport from the top plane to the bottom surfaces and ridge surfaces. The comparison between Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) confirms the conclusion that the advective scalar transfer primarily takes effect at larger Schmidt numbers.

Figure 7 shows the advective scalar transport flux in the vertical direction $\tilde{u}_z \phi$ for Sc = 1 and 50 at $Re_S = 200$. The 3D iso-surfaces and 2D iso-contours of $\tilde{u}_z \phi$ are consistent with the patterns of vertical velocity \tilde{u}_z shown in Fig. 4. Along the streamwise FGT rows, the values of $\tilde{u}_z\phi$ are positive, indicating an upward advective scalar flux. Along the BGT rows, the values of $\tilde{u}_z \phi$ are negative, indicating a downward advective scalar flux. At Sc = 1, the stronger diffusion effect reveals tubular structures in the iso-surfaces that extend in the streamwise direction on each row of the herringbone ridges. At Sc = 50, the enhanced advection effect creates complex fine structures on the iso-surfaces. A comparison of the 2D contours suggests that at Sc = 1, the value of $\tilde{u}_z \phi$ in the upward flow is larger and the absolute value of $\tilde{u}_z \phi$ in the downward flow is smaller compared with the values at Sc = 50. This implies that the net efficiency of advective scalar transport from the top plane to the bottom region is lower at Sc = 1. As a result, the total scalar flux is lower.

The scalar transport from the top plane to the bottom region relies on both scalar diffusion and the flow advection induced by the herringbone structures. In nondimensional form, the diffusive and advective scalar fluxes, $\tilde{q}_{dif}(\tilde{z})$ and $\tilde{q}_{adv}(\tilde{z})$, are calculated as

$$\tilde{q}_{dif}(\tilde{z}) = \frac{1}{Pe} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right\rangle, \tag{15}$$

$$\tilde{q}_{adv}(\tilde{z}) = -\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle, \tag{16}$$

where *Pe* is the Peclet number. The total flux \tilde{q}_{tot} is the sum of $\tilde{q}_{dif}(\tilde{z})$ and $\tilde{q}_{adv}(\tilde{z})$,

$$\tilde{q}_{tot} = \frac{1}{Pe} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \tilde{z}} \right\rangle (\tilde{z}) - \langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle (\tilde{z}).$$
(17)

Both $\tilde{q}_{dif}(\tilde{z})$ and $\tilde{q}_{adv}(\tilde{z})$ are functions of the vertical coordinate \tilde{z} , yet the total scalar flux \tilde{q}_{tot} is independent of \tilde{z} in the steady state due to the conservation of scalar flux.





To quantitatively compare the scalar transport characteristics of the flows at various Sc at Re_S, Figs. 8 and 9 show the profile of the horizontally averaged scalar concentration $\langle \phi \rangle$, the gradient of scalar concentration in the vertical direction $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$, and the ratio of advective scalar flux to total scalar flux $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ for various Sc at $Re_S = 20$ and 200, respectively. $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ quantifies the diffusive scalar transport in the vertical direction, and $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ represents the fraction of the advective scalar flux in the total scalar flux. For the purpose of comparison, the curves of scalar transport with pure diffusion are also included. The pure diffusion results were obtained by simulating the scalar transport in a quiescent fluid using the same numerical method. For both Re_s , the variations of $\langle \phi \rangle$, $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$, and $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ with vertical coordinate \tilde{z} demonstrate similar dependence on Sc. As Sc increases, the advection effect gets stronger, and the curves deviate more from those of pure diffusion. For a given Sc, higher Res leads to a stronger advection effect and more deviation among the curves. For pure diffusion, $\langle \phi \rangle$ is linearly dependent on \tilde{z} ; that is, $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ is a constant above the herringbone structures and so maintains a constant scalar flux in the vertical direction. The advective scalar flux $\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle$ is zero everywhere. At nonzero Reynolds numbers, the disturbances produced by the herringbone structures cause local mixing above the ridges, which not only decreases the vertical gradient of $\langle \phi \rangle$ in the local area but also provides an advective scalar transfer mechanism. With the increase in *Sc*, the advection effect becomes stronger and the gradient of $\langle \phi \rangle$ becomes smaller.

For $Re_S = 20$, as shown in Fig. 8, with the increase in *Sc*, the curve of $\langle \phi \rangle$ becomes more vertical at about $\tilde{z} = 1.5$, and the curve of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ curves leftward and forms a peak with the minimum $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$. At the same time, the curve of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ curves rightward and forms a peak with the maximum $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$. This means that the fraction of advective scalar flux of the total scalar flux increases with *Sc*. At the top plane ($\tilde{z} = 3$), scalar transport occurs by pure diffusion because the vertical velocity is 0 there. When *Sc* increases from 1 to 50, the curve slope of $\langle \phi \rangle$, that is, the value of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ at the top plane, also increases. This means that the total scalar flux increases with *Sc* as a result of enhanced advective scalar transfer. Since the passive scalar is absorbed at both the side walls of the herringbone ridges and the bottom surfaces, the curve of $\langle \phi \rangle$ is not a straight line in the





FIG. 8. Profiles of (a) horizontally averaged scalar concentration $\langle \phi \rangle$, (b) vertical gradient of scalar concentration $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \bar{z} \rangle$, and (c) ratio of advective scalar flux to total scalar flux $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ at $Re_S = 20$.



FIG. 9. Profiles of (a) horizontally averaged scalar concentration $\langle \phi \rangle$, (b) vertical gradient of scalar concentration $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$, and (c) ratio of advective scalar flux to total scalar flux $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ at $Re_S = 200$.

grooves ($\tilde{z} < 1$) for pure diffusion. At $Re_S = 20$, the flow advection is weak in the grooves, so the curves of $\langle \phi \rangle$ and $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ do not change much with *Sc* when $\tilde{z} < 1$, yet the curves of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle| / \tilde{q}_{tot}$ still show the enhancement of advective scalar transport in the grooves.

For $Re_S = 200$, the curves shown in Fig. 9 demonstrate a strong advection effect due to the decrease in the kinematic viscosity of the fluid—except the curve for Sc = 1. As shown in the figure, the curves of $\langle \phi \rangle$, $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$, and $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle| / \tilde{q}_{tot}$ for Sc = 1 almost coincide with those of pure diffusion. However, when Sc increases to 10, the value of $\langle \phi \rangle$ decreases and the curve of $\langle \phi \rangle$ is almost vertical above the herringbone structures. Correspondingly, the curve of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ has a minimum value close to 0 at about $\tilde{z} = 1.5$, and the curve of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle| / \tilde{q}_{tot}$ shows a maximum value close to 1 at that point. This means that advective scalar transfer has become the dominant means of scalar transport.

As S increases from 10 to 50, the value of $\langle \phi \rangle$ further decreases above the herringbone structures, and the area of small $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ (close to 0) expands both upward and downward. Correspondingly, the area of large $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ (close to 1) also expands. The advective scalar transfer dominates in the majority of the upper flow. At the top plane, scalar transport relies on pure diffusion. As Sc increases from 1 to 50, the slope of the curve of $\langle \phi \rangle$, that is, the value of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ at the top plane, also increases, indicating an increase in total scalar flux from the top plane to the flow. In the grooves, $\langle \phi \rangle$ smoothly decreases from a small value at ridge tips to 0 at the bottom surface for pure diffusion, and the curve slope of $\langle \phi \rangle$; that is, the value of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ is close to 0 at the bottom surface. This suggests that only a small fraction of scalar is transported to the bottom surface through pure diffusion. At Sc = 1, the scalar transfer characteristics are almost the same as those for pure diffusion. When Sc increases to 10, the curve of $\langle \phi \rangle$ becomes vertical below the ridge tips, and the value of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$ decreases toward 0. Correspondingly, the curve of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ shows apparent increase in $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ in that area. As Sc further increases, the flow recirculation in the grooves becomes stronger, further decreasing the value of $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z}
angle$ and increasing the value of $|\langle \tilde{u}_z \phi \rangle|/\tilde{q}_{tot}$ below the ridge tips. At the bottom surface ($\tilde{z}=0$), the increase in Sc leads to an increase in $\langle \partial \phi / \partial \tilde{z} \rangle$; that is, the scalar flux transported to the bottom surface increases.

To measure the enhancement of scalar transport from the top plane to the herringbone surfaces and bottom plane, we compare the total scalar flux in the presence of herringbone structures with that of a smooth bottom plane. Scalar transport in laminar flows between smooth planes is by pure diffusion. We select two distances H_{smo} between the top and bottom smooth planes. The first, $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 3\tilde{h}$, corresponds to the case in which the top and bottom plane are at the same positions as those of the cases with herringbone structures. The second, $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}$, corresponds to the case in which the top plane is at the same position as that considered in this study and the bottom plane is at the tips of the herringbone structures. The nondimensional scalar fluxes between the smooth planes are calculated as

$$\tilde{q}_{smo} = (\phi_0 - 0)/H_{smo} = 1/3, \text{ when } H_{smo} = 3h,$$
 (18)

$$\tilde{q}_{smo} = (\phi_0 - 0)/\tilde{H}_{smo} = 1/2, \text{ when } \tilde{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}.$$
 (19)

Figure 10 shows the ratio of total scalar flux with herringbone structures to those with the smooth bottom wall $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ vs Schmidt number *Sc* in logarithm scales. Compared with the smooth planes at $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 3\tilde{h}$, the herringbone structures significantly enhance the scalar transport by reducing the transfer distance, increasing surface area, and inducing flow advection in the vertical direction. As a result, $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ is always greater than 1, as shown in Fig. 10(a).

For pure diffusion, which is equivalent to $Re_S = 0$, $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ denoted as $(\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo})_{dif}$ is independent of Sc and remains at a value about 1.43. This value is determined by the distance between the top and bottom planes and the geometric parameters of the herringbone structures. For $Re_S > 0$, $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ increases with both Sc and Re_S from the pure diffusion value. At $Re_S = 20$, the scalar transport is dominated by diffusion from Sc = 0 to a critical Schmidt number Sc_{crit} around 10, after which $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ increases monotonically with Sc due to the enhancement from flow advection. It is apparent that Sc_{crit} decreases with the increase in Re_S . At $Re_S = 100$ and 200, $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ increases remarkably with the increase in Sc. Note that in the logarithm scale, the curves appear as straight lines and the slopes are almost the same when $Sc \geq 10$ for $Re_S = 100$ and 200. This implies that the variation



FIG. 10. Ratio of total scalar flux with herringbone structures to that with smooth bottom wall vs Schmidt number. (a) $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 3\tilde{h}$ and (b) $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}$. "dif" indicates pure diffusion cases.

of $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ follows a power law on *Sc* when advective scalar transport dominates, and the exponent of *Sc* is independent of *Res*.

For the flows considered in this study, it is found that the exponent approaches eventually to a value close to 0.4 as *Sc* increases. Figure 10(b) shows the enhancement of scalar transfer by the herringbone structures compared with the flow over smooth planes with $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}$. In this comparison, the herringbone structures increase the scalar transport distance from the top plane to the herringbone surfaces and bottom planes, so $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ becomes less than 1 for pure diffusion. However, with the increase in *Sc* and *Res*, the strengthened advection effect not only offsets the reduction of scalar transfer caused by increased transport distance but also causes a significant increase in $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$. Since \tilde{q}_{smo} is independent of *Sc* and *Res*, the enhancement of scalar transport, $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$, can be written in a unified form

$$\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo} = f(Re_S, Sc, \gamma), \tag{20}$$

where γ is a vector of the geometric parameters, such as the distance between the two planes and the height of herringbone structures, and $f(Re_S, Sc, \gamma)$ is a function of Re_S , Sc, and γ . The variation of $\tilde{q}_{iot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ with Sc shown in Fig. 10 suggests that $\tilde{q}_{iot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ has a power law relationship with Sc, with an exponent of 0.4 when advective scalar transport takes effect. Therefore, Eq. (20) can be further written as

$$\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo} = g(Re_S, \gamma)Sc^{0.4},$$
(21)

where $g(Re_S, \gamma)$ is a function of Re_S and γ . For turbulent heat transfer, it has been found that the Nusselt number Nu, a nondimensional parameter characterizing the heat transfer rate, can be expressed as the Dittus–Boelter equation³⁵

$$Nu = 0.023 Re^{0.8} Pr^{0.4}.$$
 (22)

In this expression, the exponent of Pr is 0.4, which is the same as that in Eq. (21).

To further explore the dependence of scalar transfer rate on Re_S and Sc, we select the data points at Sc = 10, 30, and 50 from Fig. 10(a) and plot $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ vs Re_S in the logarithm scale in Fig. 11. To compare

with the Dittus–Boelter equation, we include $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo} \propto Re^{0.8}$. As shown in the figure, the curves of the three Schmidt numbers exhibit almost the same slopes at every Re_S except in the lower left region around $Re_S = 20$ and Sc = 10, where the scalar transport is dominated by diffusion. The curve slopes asymptotically approach the slope of $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo} \propto Re^{0.8}$ as Re_S increases. While the range of Re_S considered in this study is limited, it is almost certain that the dependence of scalar transport on Sc will become a power law as Re_S further increases, with the exponent close to 0.8. Once the power law relationship is reached, the expression of $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ given in Eq. (21) could be further decomposed as

$$\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo} = h(\gamma)Re^m_S Sc^{0.4}, \qquad (23)$$

where *m* is a value close to 0.8. This suggests that when Re_S is large enough, the heat and mass transfer rates also have a power law relationship with Re_S , and the effects of geometric parameters γ , Reynolds number Re_S , and Schmidt number *Sc* are decoupled. The scalar transfer rate can be expressed in the general form as

$$\tilde{q}_{tot} = f(Re_S, Sc, \gamma) \stackrel{adv. dom}{\Longrightarrow} g(Re_S, \gamma) Sc^{0.4} \stackrel{large Re_S}{\Longrightarrow} c(\gamma) Re_S^m Sc^{0.4}, \quad (24)$$

where $m \approx 0.8$. ("adv. dom." indicates the advection-dominant regime).

If the scalar transfer rates from the numerical simulations are fitted within the finite range of Re_S to the power law relationship with the least squares method, we obtain

$$\tilde{q}_{tot} = c(\gamma) R e_S^{0.42} S c^{0.4}.$$
 (25)

The exponent of Re_S is 0.42, smaller than that in the Dittus–Boelter equation.

The Peclet number, which is defined as the product of the Reynolds and Schmidt numbers, is another important nondimensional parameter characterizing scalar transport. Figure 12 shows the enhancement of scalar transfer $\tilde{q}_{tot}/\tilde{q}_{smo}$ vs Peclet number *Pe* for the two \tilde{H}_{smo} . As shown in the figure, all the curves demonstrate a power



FIG. 11. Ratio of total scalar flux with herringbone structures to that with smooth bottom wall vs Reynolds number. (a) $\hat{H}_{smo} = 3\tilde{h}$ and (b) $\hat{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}$.



FIG. 12. Ratio of total scalar transport flux with herringbone structures to that with smooth bottom wall vs Peclet number. (a) $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 3\tilde{h}$ and (b) $\tilde{H}_{smo} = 2\tilde{h}$.

law relationship with Pe in the logarithm scale when Pe increases above a critical value around 200. The curves at different values of Re_S group together and form a dense cluster of curves. The curve slopes are roughly the same as that of $Pe^{0.4}$. If we use Eq. (24) to approximate the scalar transport rate in the range considered in this study, the expression can be rearranged as

$$\tilde{q}_{tot} = c(\gamma) R e_S^{0.02} P e^{0.4}.$$
(26)

The term $Re_{S}^{0.02}$, that is, the Reynolds number effect, causes deviation among the curves at different Re_{S} values, but for the Re_{S} in this study, $Re_{S}^{0.02} \approx 1$, the curves are close. Therefore, the scalar transport rate can be roughly estimated by

$$\tilde{q}_{tot} = c(\gamma) P e^{0.4}, \tag{27}$$

when *Res* is not very large. This simplified expression will significantly simplify the estimation of heat and mass transfer rate for industrial applications.

The mechanisms and conclusions presented here also apply to cases with heat and mass transfer from the bottom surface to the top plane, with a simple conversion.

V. CONCLUSION

Focusing on a simple shear flow over staggered herringbone structures, we have identified the mechanisms of heat and mass transfer induced by the transverse flow induced by the repetitive herringbone structures. Proposing that the herringbone structures enhance heat and mass transfer through lateral convection, we identify the basic flow characteristics induced by the structures and elaborate the power-law dependence of heat or mass transfer rate on Schmidt and Reynolds numbers. This work provides a new idea for the design and optimization of microfluidic systems involving heat and mass transfer.

Through high-fidelity numerical simulation, two basic flow motions are identified, which provide the fundamental mechanisms for heat and mass transfer through flow advection. The first is a spiral flow oscillation between the top plane and the herringbone structures, which transports heat and mass from the top plane (or bulk flow) to the regions around herringbone tips. The second is flow recirculation in the grooves between the ridges, which transports heat and mass from the areas around the herringbone tips to the side walls of herringbone ridges and the bottom surfaces. These two basic flow motions couple together to form a complex transport mechanism.

When advective heat and mass transfer takes effect at relatively larger Reynolds and Schmidt numbers, the dependence of the total transfer rate on the Schmidt number follows a power law; the exponent is the same as that in the well-known Dittus-Boelter equation for turbulent heat transfer. As the Reynolds number increases, the dependence of total transfer rate on the Reynolds number also approaches a power law, and the exponent is close to that in the Dittus-Boelter equation. When the power law on Reynolds number is reached, the dependence on geometric parameters, Reynolds numbers, and Schmidt numbers can be decoupled. This discovery will facilitate the development of physics-based models for a wide range of fundamental research and industrial applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Award No. 2138740).

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Yanxing Wang: Conceptualization (lead); Formal analysis (lead); Investigation (lead); Methodology (lead); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing review and editing (equal). Hui Wan: Conceptualization (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (supporting); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review and editing (equal). Tie Wei: Conceptualization (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Methodology (supporting); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing review and editing (equal). John P Abraham: Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Validation (equal); Visualization (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review and editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

- ¹C.-M. Ho and Y.-C. Tai, "Micro-electro-mechanical-systems (MEMS) and fluid flows," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 30, 579 (1998).
- ²H. A. Stone, A. D. Stroock, and A. Ajdari, "Engineering flows in small devices: Microfluidics toward a lab-on-a-chip," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 36, 381 (2004).

- ³D. T. Chiu, A. J. deMello, D. Di Carlo, P. S. Doyle, C. Hansen, R. M. Maceiczyk, and R. C. R. Wootton, "Small but perfectly formed? Successes, challenges, and opportunities for microfluidics in the chemical and biological sciences," Chem 2, 201 (2017).
- ⁴A. D. Stroock, S. K. W. Dertinger, A. Ajdari, I. Mezić, H. A. Stone, and G. M. Whitesides, "Chaotic mixer for microchannels," Science 295, 647 (2002).
- ⁵J. M. Ottino and S. Wiggins, "Designing optimal micromixers," Science 305, 485 (2004).
- ⁶J. T. Yang, K. J. Huang, and Y. C. Lin, "Geometric effects on fluid mixing in passive grooved micromixers," Lab Chip 5, 1140 (2005). ⁷J. Aubin, D. F. Fletcher, and C. Xuereb, "Design of micromixers using CFD
- modelling," Chem. Eng. Sci. 60, 2503 (2005).
- ⁸D. G. Hassell and W. B. Zimmerman, "Investigation of the convective motion through a staggered herringbone micromixer at low Reynolds number flow," Chem. Eng. Sci. 61, 2977 (2006).
- ⁹S. Hardt, K. S. Drese, V. Hessel, and F. Schönfeld, "Passive micromixers for applications in the microreactor and µTAS fields," Microfluid. Nanofluid. 1, 108 (2005).
- 10S. L. Stott, C.-H. Hsu, D. I. Tsukrov, M. Yu, D. T. Miyamoto, B. A. Waltman, S. M. Rothenberg, A. M. Shah, M. E. Smas, G. K. Korir, F. P. Floyd, A. J. Gilman, J. B. Lord, D. Winokur, S. Springer, D. Irimia, S. Nagrath, L. V. Sequist, R. J. Lee, K. J. Isselbacher, S. Maheswaran, D. A. Haber, and M. Toner, "Isolation of circulating tumor cells using a microvortex-generating herringbone-chip," Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 18392 (2010).
- ¹¹M. A. Ansari and K.-Y. Kim, "Shape optimization of a micromixer with staggered herringbone groove," Chem. Eng. Sci. 62, 6687 (2007). ¹²C. A. Cortes-Quiroz, M. Zangeneh, and A. Goto, "On multi-objective optimiza-
- tion of geometry of staggered herringbone micromixer," Microfluid. Nanofluid. 7, 29 (2009).
- 13S. Hossain, A. Husain, and K.-Y. Kim, "Optimization of micromixer with staggered herringbone grooves on top and bottom walls," Eng. Appl. Comput. Fluid Mech. 5, 506 (2011).
- ¹⁴P. B. Howell, Jr., D. R. Mott, S. Fertig, C. R. Kaplan, J. P. Golden, E. S. Oran, and F. S. Ligler, "A microfluidic mixer with grooves placed on the top and bottom of the channel," Lab Chip 5, 524 (2005).
- ¹⁵D. Lin, F. He, Y. Liao, J. Lin, C. Liu, J. Song, and Y. Cheng, "Three-dimensional staggered herringbone mixer fabricated by femtosecond laser direct writing," . Opt. 15, 025601 (2013).
- ¹⁶D. Gobby, P. Angeli, and A. Gavriilidis, "Mixing characteristics of T-type microfluidic mixers," J. Micromech. Microeng. 11, 126 (2001).
- ¹⁷F. Yang, M. Alwazzan, W. Li, and C. Li, "Single- and two-phase thermal transport in microchannels with embedded staggered herringbone mixers," . Microelectromech. Syst. 23, 1346 (2014).
- ¹⁸J. Marschewski, R. Brechbühler, S. Jung, P. Ruch, B. Michel, and D. Poulikakos, "Significant heat transfer enhancement in microchannels with herringboneinspired microstructures," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer 95, 755 (2016).
- ¹⁹M. Bisht, S. Haeri, and D. V. Patil, "Fluid flow in wall-driven enclosures with corrugated bottom," Comput. Fluids 152, 1-13 (2017).
- 20Y. H. Qian, D. D'Humières, and P. Lallemand, "Lattice BGK models for Navier-Stokes equation," Europhys. Lett. 17, 479 (1992).
- ²¹S. Chen and G. D. Doolen, "Lattice Boltzmann method for fluid flows," Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 30, 329 (1998).
- 22Y. Wang, J. Brasseur, G. Banco, A. Webb, A. Ailiani, T. Neuberger et al., "Development of a lattice-Boltzmann method for multiscale transport and absorption with application to intestinal function," in Computational Modeling in Biomechanics, edited by S. De (Springer, 2010), pp. 69-96.
- 23 P. Lallemand and L.-S. Luo, "Lattice Boltzmann method for moving boundaries," J. Comput. Phys. 184, 406 (2003).
- ²⁴A. J. C. Ladd, "Numerical simulations of particulate suspensions via a discretized Boltzmann equation. Part 1. Theoretical foundation," J. Fluid Mech. 271, 285 (1994).
- ²⁵P. Lallemand and L.-S. Luo, "Theory of the lattice Boltzmann method: Dispersion, dissipation, isotropy, Galilean invariance, and stability," Phys. Rev. E 61, 6546 (2000).
- ²⁶P. Lallemand and L.-S. Luo, "Theory of the lattice Boltzmann method: Acoustic and thermal properties in two and three dimensions," Phys. Rev. E 68, 036706 (2003).

- ²⁷D. Frenkel and M. H. Ernst, "Simulation of diffusion in a two-dimensional lattice-gas cellular automaton: A test of mode-coupling theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2165 (1989).
- ²⁸C. P. Lowe and D. Frenkel, "The super long-time decay of velocity fluctuations in a two-dimensional fluid," Phys. A 220, 251 (1995).
- ²⁹R. M. H. Merks, A. G. Hoekstra, and P. M. A. Sloot, "The moment propagation method for advection-diffusion in the lattice Boltzmann method: Validation and Péclet number limits," J. Comput. Phys. **183**, 563 (2002).
- ³⁰Y. Wang, J. G. Brasseur, G. G. Banco, A. G. Webb, A. C. Ailiani, and T. Neuberger, "A multiscale lattice Boltzmann model of macro-to micro-scale transport, with applications to gut function," Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 368, 2863 (2010).
- ³¹Y. Wang and J. G. Brasseur, "Three-dimensional mechanisms of macro-tomicro-scale transport and absorption enhancement by gut villi motions," Phys. Rev. E 95, 062412 (2017).
- ³²Y. Wang and J. G. Brasseur, "Enhancement of mass transfer from particles by local shear-rate and correlations with application to drug dissolution," AIChE J. 65, e16617 (2019).
- **33**S. Popinet, "Gerris: A tree-based adaptive solver for the incompressible Euler equations in complex geometries," J. Comput. Phys. **190**, 572 (2003).
- ³⁴S. Popinet, "An accurate adaptive solver for surface-tension-driven interfacial flows," J. Comput. Phys. 228, 5838 (2009).
- 35H. W. McAdams, Heat Transmission (McGraw-Hill, 1954).